1		THE HONORABLE FRED VAN SICKLE	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	F. MIKE SHAFFER, WSBA No. 18669 BRADLEY B. JONES, WSBA No. 17197 KENNETH G. KIEFFER, WSBA No. 108 JOHN C. GUADNOLA, WSBA No. 08636 GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, WA 98402-4314 253-620-6500 253-620-6565 STEVE W. BERMAN, WSBA No. 12536 TYLER S. WEAVER, WSBA No. 29413 JENIPHR BRECKENRIDGE, WSBA No. ERIN K. FLORY, WSBA No. 16631 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO I 1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900 Seattle, WA 98101-2609	21410	
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
14 15 16 17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON In re METROPOLITAN SECURITIES) No. CV-04-025-FVS		
18 19 20 21 22	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS	CLASS ACTION PRECIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT BETWEEN THE CLASS AND DEFENDANT ROTH CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC	
232425262728			
	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS) LA 51229941 EXHIBIT, PAGE3		

11 12

10

14

15

13

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Having considered the Motion and Memorandum of Plaintiffs, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, for an Order preliminarily approving the proposed settlement (the "Settlement") between the Class and defendant Roth Capital Partners, LLC ("Roth") in accordance with the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement dated January 29, 2010,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Settlement 1. Agreement and the Judgment.
- The Court has personal jurisdiction over all parties to the Action and the 2. Roth Action, including all Class Members and Roth, and subject matter jurisdiction over the Action and the Roth Action.
- Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree in 3. writing to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Settlement Agreement.
- In the interest of conserving expenses to the Class, pursuant to the terms 4. of the Settlement Agreement, the Court shall defer approval of the form and manner of providing notice of the Settlement to the Class, and scheduling a hearing for final approval of the Settlement and Settlement Agreement (the "Final Approval Hearing").
- The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement, as embodied in 5. the Settlement Agreement, as being fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Class Members, subject to further consideration at the Final Approval Hearing.
- 6. This Order shall become null and void, with the exception of the Bifurcation Order, and be without prejudice to the rights of the Settling Parties, all of whom shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective statuses in the Action and the Roth Action as of January 29, 2010, if: (i) the Effective Date does not occur; or (ii) the proposed Settlement is terminated or does not become effective for any other reason. In such event, the Settlement Agreement shall become null and void and

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

have no further force and effect, and the Settlement shall be without prejudice and none of its terms shall be effective or enforceable.

- 7. The Action is stayed as to Roth in all respects until further order of the Court, except as may be necessary to implement and effectuate the Settlement and Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the Roth Released Parties should be entered, no Lead Plaintiff nor any Class Member, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall assert, commence or prosecute against any of the Roth Released Parties any of the Roth Released Claims in this Action or the Roth Action or any other proceeding or forum. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate the Settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).
- 9. Pending determination by the Court as to whether the Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, is fair, reasonable and adequate and should be finally approved and whether the Judgment dismissing the Action and the Roth Action with prejudice as to Roth and whether an order barring claims against the Roth Released Parties should be entered, no present or future defendant in the Action or in the Roth Action, either directly, representatively or in any other capacity, shall assert, commence or prosecute any claim against any of the Roth Released Parties, however styled, whether legal or equitable, known or unknown, whether arising under state law or federal non-securities law, whether for indemnification or contribution or otherwise denominated (including without limitation claims for breach of contract or misrepresentation), where the claim is based on, arises out of or

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

234

1

5

6

7 8 9

1011

12

13 14

16

17

15

18

19 20

2122

23

24

2526

27

28

relates to claims or allegations asserted in the Action or the Roth Action. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and the Court's flexibility and authority to effectuate this Settlement and to enter judgment when appropriate, and is ordered in aid of the Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a).

In the event the Action proceeds to trial as to a non-settling 10. defendant(s): (i) the Class may not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, for any purpose whatsoever against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (ii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not be admissible for any purpose whatsoever as against Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iii) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment or any attribution of fault or responsibility to Roth, shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or in any other proceeding or forum; (iv) Roth shall not use any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, verdict or judgment for any purpose whatsoever against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum; (v) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not be admissible for any purpose against the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class; and (vi) any finding, ruling, order, trial testimony, attribution of fault or responsibility to any other defendant, verdict or judgment shall not constitute collateral estoppel or res judicata as to the Class in the Action or the Roth Action or against the Class in any other proceeding or forum in any litigation between Roth and the Class. If the Settlement is terminated or fails to become effective for any reason, the Class shall not be estopped from asserting the liability of Roth in the Action, the Roth Action or

ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)

1	any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or entered		
2	into, and Roth shall not be estopped from challenging liability in the Action, the Roth		
3	Action or any other proceeding or forum as if no settlement had been negotiated or		
4	entered into.		
5	11. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession or		
6	declaration by or against Roth of any fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability. Nor		
7	shall the Order be construed or used as an admission, concession or declaration by or		
8	against the Class Representatives or the Class, that their claims lack merit, that their		
9	damages are in any way limited, or that the relief requested in the Action or the Roth		
10	Action is inappropriate, or as a waiver by any party of any defenses of claims he, she		
11	or it may have.		
12	12. The Class shall move the Court for an order approving and directing		
13	notice of the Settlement to the Class, and setting a final approval hearing, no later		
14	than the earlier of either (i) final resolution of the Class's claims against the non-		
15	settling defendants in the Action, or (ii) June 15, 2010, or such continued date as the		
16	Class and Roth may agree to in writing.		
17			
18	IT IS SO ORDERED this, 2010.		
19	s/ Fred Van Sickle		
20	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
21	Presented by:		
22	GORDON THOMAS HONEYWELL LLP		
23			
24	By: Bradley B. Jones		
25	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class		
26	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP		
27			
28	By:		
	ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Case No. CV-04-025-FVS)		
	EXHIBIT		